The Japan Pavilion, COP26 November 11, 2021



# Background and Overview of EDITS: Energy Demand changes Induced by Technological and Social innovations

# **Keigo Akimoto**

Systems Analysis Group Research Institute of Innovative Technology for the Earth (RITE)



# Categorization of deep emission reduction scenarios for below 1.5 °C (IPCC SR15)



P1: A scenario in which social, business, and technological innovations result in lower energy demand up to 2050 while living standards rise, especially in the global South. A down-sized energy system enables rapid decarbonisation of energy supply. Afforestation is the only CDR option considered; neither fossil fuels with CCS nor BECCS are used.

#### Much lower energy demand scenarios than those of SSP1

- Low energy demand is induced autonomously on economic principle through technological and social innovations

 Low carbon prices (business-based measures even without strict climate policies)



BECCS

P2: A scenario with a broad focus on sustainability including energy intensity, human development, economic convergence and international cooperation, as well as shifts towards sustainable and healthy consumption patterns, low-carbon technology innovation, and well-managed land systems with limited societal acceptability for BECCS.

SSP1

Low



P3: A middle-of-the-road scenario in which societal as well as technological development follows historical patterns. Emissions reductions are mainly achieved by changing the way in which energy and products are produced, and to a lesser degree by reductions in demand.

### SSP2 (Middle scenario)

High

#### Final energy demands

- Comprehensive risk management is essential, and various kinds of technologies play their respective roles.
  - On the other hand, opportunities for end-use technology innovation, inducing low energy demands, and their impacts on overall climate change mitigation should be more focused. (P1)

#### Source) IPCC SR15



P4: A resource and energy-intensive scenario in which economic growth and globalization lead to widespread adoption of greenhouse-gas intensive lifestyles, including high demand for transportation fuels and livestock products. Emissions reductions are mainly achieved through technological means, making strong use of CDR through the deployment of BECCS.

SSP5

- High carbon prices
   (harmonization among nations are required to avoid carbon leakage)
- Large-scale deployments of CDR, e.g., CCS, BECCS, DACS, are required.

G20 Karuizawa Innovation Action Plan on Energy Transitions R and Global Environment for Sustainable Growth (June 2019)





"We recognize the importance of quantitative analysis on better understanding future energy demand and supply and the role of innovation of both sides driven by digitalization, Artificial Intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), and the sharing economy. We encourage efforts made by the global scientific community and international organizations and frameworks to further refine and develop the full spectrum of economy-wide scenarios for energy and climate models."

Note) This is also an annex document of the G20 Osaka Leader's declaration.



- To create a research community focusing on end-use, demand-side perspectives that further dialogue and cross-fertilization of research and policy analysis through the sharing of novel data, novel concepts, methodologies, and policy analyses.
- To improve the state-of-art of demand modeling in environmental and climate policy analysis via methods and model intercomparisons and assist the transfer of conceptual and methodological improvements across disciplines, sectors, and environmental domains.
- To inform better policy via structured model experiments and simulations that assess potential impacts, barriers, as well as synergies and tradeoffs to other SDG objectives of demand-side policy interventions, particularly in novel fields and service provision models such as digitalization, sharing economy, or the SDG integration and climate objectives in synergistic policy designs.

The EDITS project starts from year of 2020.

# The Organization and the Research Topics of EDITS

5

### **EDITS Organization**



Digitalization Equity Lifestyles / Behavior Business models Theory development

# **Official Members of the EDITS Project**



	(			
Institute	Researchers (limited lists)			
RITE	Keigo Akimoto, Miyuki Nagashima, Ayami Hayashi			
IIASA	Keywan Riahi, Arnulf Grübler, Bas van Ruijven, Shonali Pachauri, Benigna Boza- Kiss, Paul Kishimoto			
OECD/ITF	Luis Martinez, Guineng Chen			
Stanford University	John Weyant			
University of Tokyo	Masahiro Sugiyama, Yiyi Ju			
Lawrence Berkeley National Labs (LBNL)	Nan Zhou, Tianzhen Hong, Wei Feng, Nina Z.Khanna, Hongyou Lu			
Utrecht University	Oreane Edelenbosch, Ernst Worrell			
СМСС	Massimo Tavoni, Elena Verdolini, Giacomo Marangoni			
Tsinghua University	Ou Xunmin			
UFRJ/COPPETEC	Roberto Schaeffer, Talita Borges Cruz, Matheus Poggio, Alberto Santos			
Asian Institute of Technology (AIT)	Joyashree Roy, Pal Indrajit, Shreya Some, Nandini Das, J. Chatterjee, Singh			
Osaka University	Yoshikuki Shimoda, Yohei Yamaguchi			
University of Wisconsin	Greg Nemet			
University of California, Santa Barbara (UCSB)	Eric Masanet			
Yonsei University/Korea Environment Institute	Tae Yong Jung, Yong-Gun Kim			
Central European University (CEU)	Diana Urge-Vorsatz, Souran Chatterjee			
University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna (BOKU)	Dominik Wiedenhofer, Jan Streeck			
University of Freiburg	Stefan Pauliuk			
Mercator Research Institute on Global Commons and Climate Change (MCC)	Leila Niamir, Felix Creutzig			
Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research	Charlie Wilson			
University of Groningen	Linda Steg			
Other maior contributors	Julia Steinberger, Bianka Shoai-Tehrani, Joni Jupesta, Poornima Kumar, Marta			
	Baltruszewicz			

# Global Energy Consumptions and Losses by Sector



7



Source) A. Grubler (IIASA), ALPS International Symposium (2016)

Required services need only 4-5% of primary energy consumption.

There is ample room to improve energy productivity in end-use sectors. However, currently there are significant barriers to enjoying the possible productivity improvement because of hidden costs. Nevertheless, IT, AI and other related technologies may overcome the barriers at affordable prices.

### Energy Demands Estimated from Decent Living Standard (DLS)

8



- Some studies tried to estimate final energy demands according to a bottom-up measure from required service demands for DLS.
- Much larger energies compared with those for DLS are consumed, particularly in developed countries.

### Learning Rates of Energy Technologies: Supply vs End-use



Wilson et al., Nature Climate Change, 2012

9

The learning rates of the "granular" end-use technologies are greater than those of the "lumpy" energy supply technologies in general.

# **Transport: CASE**





### **Connected; Service & Shared**



### Autonomous; Electric



The operation ratio of automobiles is about 5%. The large room for improvement exists by the achievement of fully autonomous cars.

EV "e-Palette" only for Autono-MaaS

Source) Toyota



#### Source: Nakanishi Research Institute, Co., Ltd. "Future map of the automobile industry and mobility"

#### Changing the shape of cars

#### Possibility of integration of cars and near distance airplane



Source) Airbus, Audi



Source) Nissan



Source) Jari Kauppila, ALPS International Symposium (2019)



The sharing may reduce the number of

10

# Estimated Number of Vehicles in Sharing Car Systems: Dublin



Build a model based on actual data (population distribution, road/public transport network, weekday trip demand (time zone, OD), trip preferences, etc.) 11

- In the case of Dublin, Ireland, replacing all private cars with shared cars enables to supply the current mobility with just under 2% of the number of cars.
- Replacing 20% of private cars (even without EV) reduces CO2 emissions to \$\$\triangle\$\$ 22%

Source) OECD/ITF, Shared Mobility Simulations for Dublin (2018)

Scenario			Simulation results: changes relative to Baseline [%]					
#	Bus	Car	Passenger- km	Vehicle- km	CO <sub>2</sub> emissions	Congest ion	Travel time	Equivalent private car vehicles
1	100% Replacement	100% of trips	+51	▲38	▲31	▲37	+72	▲98
2	Кеер	replaced	+32	<b>▲</b> 42	▲31	<b>▲</b> 43	+134	▲99
4	100% Replacement	20% of trips replaced	+16	▲23	▲22	▲7	+6	<b>1</b> 8
6	Keep trips where Bus with headway < 5min		+13	▲25	▲23	▲9	+6	<b>▲</b> 18

# Other Impacts of Technological and Behavior Changes on Several Sectors (Examples)

### [Food]

- It is regarded that approx. 30% of GHG emission (even more depending on boundaries) comes from the food system. Also, food wastes and losses are about one-third of total produ globally.
- More accurate food demand forecast through AI / ICT could lead to a decrease in food wastes and losses and energy consumption, and GHG emission accordingly.
- Consequently, reducing plastic containers, store spaces in supermarkets, energy for refrigerators/freezers and transport energy could be triggered.

### [Apparel]

- It is said that 50% of clothes are unused and disposed of it
- Preference changes, especially among young generations, e.g. wearing suits is not widespread among young people, and progress of E-commerce, e.g. anything is available without traveling.
- Just-in-time system using AI / ICT, enabling accurate demand forecast and not dependent on mass production.
- Large spaces for display and considerable energy for construction and air conditioning are necessary for extensive commercial facilities; however, it is possible to reduce them.

### [3D printing]

- 3D printers (Additive Manufacturing: AM) are evolving
- Compared to injection molding, it is possible to create a complicated shape and a light product with the same strength in many cases.
- It enables small batch custom manufacturing instead of mass production and avoids mass production and mass disposal.







### **Rebound Effects on Energy Consumptions through Digitalization (Literature Reviews)**



Sector	Estimate d energy consumption; [Representative number]	
E-publication	-90% to + <mark>3000%</mark> ; [- <b>70%]</b>	
E-news	-1400% to <mark>+550%</mark> ; [- <b>70%]</b>	✓ There can be several kir
E-business	-91% to +179%; (Insufficient evidence)	rebound effects on ener consumptions.
E-music	-87% to +235%; [-60%]	<ul> <li>✓ Basically, reductions in consumptions due to improvements in digital</li> </ul>
E-videos and e-games	-70% to +450%; [0%]	technologies are estimated
Teleworking	-15% to -0.01%	consumptions vary wide
Vehicle distance travelled	-20% to +3.9%	according to the bounda
Person distance travelled	-19% to -11.9%	

Source) Court et al., "Digitalization of goods: a systematic review of the determinants and magnitude of the impacts on energy consumption", Environmental Research Letters (2020); Hook et al., "A systematic review of the energy and climate impacts of teleworking", Environmental Research Letters (2020)

- of
- ergy ion
- ergy s of