RITE Symposium on IPCC AR6 WG3

Steel Industry's Vision and Challenge towards Carbon Neutrality

May 19, 2022 Hiroyuki Tezuka Fellow, JFE Steel Corporation Energy Technology Committee, Chair, The Japan Iron and Steel Federation



IPCC AR6 WG3 SPM C.5 Industry

- Net-zero CO2 emissions from the industrial sector are challenging but possible. • • • Progressing towards net zero GHG emissions from industry will be enabled by the adoption of new production processes using low and zero GHG electricity, hydrogen, fuels, and carbon management.
- The use of steel, cement, plastics, and other materials is increasing globally, and in most regions. There are many sustainable options for demand management, materials efficiency, and circular material flows that can contribute to reduced emissions
- many low- to zero- GHG intensity production processes are at the pilot to near-commercial and in some cases commercial stage but <u>not yet</u> <u>established industrial practice</u>. Introducing new sustainable basic materials production processes could <u>increase production costs</u> but, given the small fraction of consumer cost based on materials, are expected to translate into minimal cost increases for final consumers.
- Hydrogen direct reduction for primary steelmaking is near-commercial in some regions.
- Action to reduce industry sector emissions may change the location of GHG intensive industries and the organisation of value chains. Regions with abundant low GHG energy and feedstocks have the potential to become exporters of hydrogen-based chemicals and materials processed using low-carbon electricity and hydrogen. Such <u>reallocation</u> will have global distributional effects on employment and economic structure.

Remove oxygen from iron ore (reduction)

Carbon (C) removes the oxygen (O)(reduction) in the iron ore as carbon is more reactive than iron (Fe)

Iron ore is reduced to iron, and carbon is combined with oxygen to form carbon dioxide.



Challenges toward carbon neutrality in the steel industry

Challenges

1. Iron ore reduced by carbon (current process) $Fe_2O_3 + C + O_2 \Rightarrow Fe + CO_2 + Heating (Exothermic) \cdots CO_2$ emission Measures against generated CO2 challenges (1) CO2 emissions are separated and captured for Utilization and geological storage (CCUS). ... Storage and hydrogen (2) Biomass use (use of wood and other materials that absorb CO2, resulting in net zero) ····Planting, securing required amount ... Impurities, securing required amount (3) Waste plastic use (4) Natural gas use (Direct reduction, DRI) ····Productivity, heating 2. Iron ore reduction by hydrogen Large amount of hydrogen preheating $Fe_2O_3 + H_2 + heating \Rightarrow Fe + H_2O$ (Endothermic) ...

3. Use of scrap (reduced iron)

Means

We need to overcome lots of challenges to achieve carbon neutrality.



Outlook for Global Steel Production and Accumulation

- Demand for crude steel will increase
- Obsolete scrap will also increase, but not enough to meet steel demand
- Constant supply of pig iron is essential for supply of high-performance steel products



COURSE50 : hydrogen reduction ironmaking technology (NEDO, JISF)

On the condition that the existing infrastructure of the integrated steelworks will be utilized to the maximum extent possible,

- Reduction of carbon and increase of hydrogen during iron ore reduction (using coke oven gas with high hydrogen content)
- Separation and recovery of CO2 from blast furnace exhaust gas (using unused waste heat from the steel mill)

These measures will enable the reduction of CO2 emissions from steel mills by 30%.



CO2 Emissions Reduction Using Carbon-recycling Blast Furnace

- CO₂ generated from blast furnace is converted to methane and used repeatedly as reducing material.
- CO₂ emissions are reduced by replacing coke with carbon-neutral methane as the reducing material.



CO₂ reduction target of 30% in blast furnace, aiming at carbon neutrality through CCUS utilization

Source : JFE Group Environmental Vision 2050



100% hydrogen use by the direct reduction

- 1. Hydrogen reduction is endothermic so hydrogen preheating to be required.
- 2. Available iron ore is limited (less powdering and less sticking ore)
- 3. The re-melting and slag separation are required in the EAF





IPCC AR6 WG3 E5. Investment and Finance

- Tracked financial flows fall short of the levels needed to achieve mitigation goals across all sectors and regions.
- Average annual modelled investment requirements for 2020 to 2030 in scenarios that limit warming to 2°C or 1.5°C are a factor of three to six greater than current levels,
- In modelled pathways, regional investments are projected to occur when and where they are most cost-effective to limit global warming. The model quantifications help to identify highpriority areas for cost-effective investments, but do not provide any indication on who would finance the regional investments.
- There is sufficient global capital and liquidity to close global investment gaps, given the size of the global financial system, but there are barriers to redirect capital to climate action both within and outside the global financial sector.

Too rush deployment may cause cost increase/inflation, then may hinder financing for investment.

The current hydrogen strategy does not satisfy the amount and cost requirement.

Hydrogen cost and supply target in Green Growth Strategy Through Achieving Carbon Neutrality in 2050



Current H2 cost target is still too expensive Hydrogen Steelmaking

Prerequisites to achieve carbon-neutral steel

Costs of Achieving Carbon-neutral Steel

◆ Massive research and development costs →Maximum use of government R&D support, such as Green Innovation Fund

Massive investment in equipment

 \rightarrow Total capital investment in steel works will exceed R&D costs

- Stable supply of inexpensive, high-volume green hydrogen and electricity, and development of related infrastructure (Ensure the global competitiveness of industrial power price)
- Even with cheap hydrogen, production costs will significantly increase*

*Assuming 20 yen per Nm³ of hydrogen

Significant cost increases are inevitable and there are limits to the efforts of individual companies. Government support and cooperation with society will be essential, including for the creation of a mechanism through which society would bear the increased costs.

Source : JFE Group Environmental Vision 2050

Needs sufficient Financing & sustained/assured Market Demand



END Thank you for your attention



JISF's CO₂ reduction scenario

2020 2030 2040 2050 2100 R&D Implementation Raising ratio of Hz reduction in blast furnace using internal Hz (COG) COURSE50 Development of technologies specific to iron & steel sector Capturing CO₂ from blast furnace gas for storage R&D Implementation Further H2 reduction in blast furnace by adding H2 from outside Super COURSE50 (assuming massive carbon-free H2 supply becomes available) R&D H₂ reduction Implementation H2 reduction iron making without using coal iron making R&D Implementation CCU Carbon recycling from byproduct gases Carbon-recycling blast furnace Implementation CCS Recovery of CO₂ from byproduct gases. Development of common fundamental technologies for society Implementation Carbon-free power sources (nuclear, renewables, fossil+CCS R&D Carbon-free Power Advanced transmission, power storage, etc. R&D Implementation Technical development of low cost and massive amount of hydrogen production, transfer and storage R&D Implementation Technical development on CO2 capture and strage/usage CCS/CCU Solving social issues (location, PA, etc.)

Multiple pathways: all options need to taken.

