Policy trends of Japan and the world, and Scenarios of long-range strategy for deep CO₂ emission reduction

Kenji YAMAJI, Director-General

Research Institute of Innovative Technology for the Earth(RITE)

ALPS International Symposium (FY 2018)

Feb.19, 2019 @Toranomon Hills Forum, Tokyo

Policy Trends of Japan and the World

Paris Agreement (framework after 2020 covering all major countries) : Adopt(2015) \rightarrow Effective(2016) \rightarrow Implementation scheme(2018; exc. market mkm)

IPCC 1.5°C Special Report (2018) : net zero emission required in 2050

5th Strategic Energy plan of Japan decided in July, 2018 : Target for 2030 maintained, Vision for 2050 as an ambitious goal

Schedule for Future :

June 2019 : G20 in Japan, long-term low emission develop. strategy? Nov. 2019(COP25) : rule for market mechanism Nov. 2020(COP26) : limit for submission of NDC for 2030 Nov. 2020 : election of US President, US exit?, (G7 in the USA) Nov. 2022 : technical review for global stocktake Dec. 2023 : high level event for global stocktake End of 2024 : 1st biennial report of Paris Agreement due 2025 : submission of second NDC

Targets for 2030 and Goal for 2050



The energy mix for 2030 is kept as that of the previous plan



Provisional translation of Government publication

Direction of energy trend in Japan towards 2050

- Key points of Recommendations by the Round Table for Studying Energy Situations - 5

- Possibility → Ambitious scenario: Energy transitions toward decarbonization
- Uncertainty → Multiple track scenario: Seeking possibility in all choices
- Unclarity → Scientific review mechanism: Flexible determination of priority
 - **Energy transitions under complex uncertain environments**
 - \rightarrow Sophistication of 3E+S
 - ➤ Safety at the top priority → Enhancement of safety through innovations by technologies and governance reforms
 - Energy Security: Resource self-sufficiency rates -> Improvement of technology selfsufficiency rates + Securement of diversity of energy options
 - \succ Environmental friendliness \rightarrow Taking on decarbonization
 - ➢ Economy: Curbing public burdens → Enhancement of domestic industrial competitiveness

The First Pillar

Ambitious and multiple-track scenario

 \rightarrow Renewables to be major power sources, meanwhile decreasing the dependency on nuclear energy

 \rightarrow All options in pursuit of possibilities

To 2030 -> Electricity ZE44 & Energy efficiency 30

- Single target = Energy mix in 2030
- \rightarrow Zero emission electricity 44%
- \rightarrow Increase in energy efficiency by 30%
- From fulfillment and acceleration towards to advanced development by 2050
- \rightarrow Decrease CO₂ by 26% with the same cost, then 80%
- Technology-based 3E+S
- Safety \rightarrow Increased security with technology

Energy security → Securing energy with technology Environment→ Decarbonization with technology Economic Efficiency→ Strengthening industrial competitiveness with technology

To 2050 -> ZE80 & Negative emissions

Multiple scenarios = determined by scientific review Electricity system -> Renewables, battery storage -> Renewables, hydrogenation or methanation -> fossil fuel, CCS, hydrogenation or methanation -> International renewables, hydrogenation or methanation -> Next gen. nuclear (small reactors etc.) Thermal system -> Electrification -> Hydrogenation or methanation -> Next gen. industrial thermal system Transportation system -> Electrification -> Hydrogenation -> Autonomous Distributed energy system -> Adjacent to demand, small battery storage and IoT

Provisional translation of Government publication

The Second Pillar

26%

Introduction of Scientific Review Mechanism

 \rightarrow Priority of energy option will be determined considering leading-edge technologies and situations

Shift from verification of electricity generation to that of system cost



Scientific review for energy transition by every 2 to 3 years Determine priority issues in light of latest technological and geopolitical situation

Provisional translation of Government publication



Structure of Climate Change Response



Source : Kenji Yamaji, 2006 : [Theory of 3E Systems Analysis], Iwanami-Shoten (in Japanese) + modifications by KY

Global <u>CO₂ emission</u> profiles toward 2300 for the 2 °C targets

10



The global CO2 emissions should be nearly zero for a long period of time in the far future in any pathway to achieve temperature stabilization.
 On the other hand, the allowable global CO2 emissions toward the middle of this century have a wide range according to the uncertainties in climate sensitivity (or achieving probability) even when the temperature target level is determined as a 2 °C. We should use this flexibility to develop several kinds of innovative technologies and societies.

The relationship between baseline emissions due to different RTP socioeconomic scenarios and those for 2 °C goals



- There are large uncertainties in baseline emissions due to uncertainties in socioeconomic conditions. The uncertainty ranges are much larger than those for different target levels of temperature (e.g., ± 0.5 °C, that is, 1.5 to 2.5 °C target).

- It is significant to achieve low emissions in baseline, that is, technologies with net negative costs achieving such low emissions.

Primary energy supply and final energy consumption (World, 2050)





For 70% GHG mitigation:
considerable BECCS is required
significant reduction on oil and gas
electrification ratio should be
increased for cost-effective measures



Generated electricity (World, 2050)





*Biomass (co-firing) assumed co-firing with coal fired power. The generated electricity is proportionally divided into coal and biomass by the ratio of input heat quantity, biomass content is shown in the figure.

*Hydrogen (co-firing) assumed co-firing with gas fired power. The generated electricity proportionally divided into gas and hydrogen by the ratio of input heat quantity, and hydrogen content is shown in the figure. Gas content is included in gas w/o CCS.

A considerable amount of CCS introduction even at 40% reduction. BECCS should be introduced instead of Coal with CCS at 70% reduction. A considerable amount of renewables, especially PV, and hydrogen is required at 70% reduction.

Changes in per capita CO2 emissions in major countries

CO2 emissions in Japan rise after the earthquake.

In Germany, CO2 reductions are sluggish, while UK and France steadily reduce.

China's CO2 emissions has increased to the comparable level of developed countries, while the US tends to decline, the level is still high.

		2000		2009		2015	
	USA	20.0t	-3.3	16.7t	-3.3	15.5t	
_	Japan	9.0t	-0.7	8.3t	+0.7	9.0t	
*	Germany	10.0t	1.1	8.9t	±0	8.9t	
	China	2.5t	+2.8	5.3t	+1.3	6.6t	
	UK	8.8t	1.4	7.4t	-1.4	6.0t	
	France	6.0t	-0.8	5.2t	-0.8	4.4 t	

★ Adjusted by coal-fired power to increase renewables ⇒ No CO2 reduction

14

Utilization of nuclear power



Do not use nuclear power in the future

Source : Energy situation roundtable discussion, April 10th 2018

Impacts of "Super Smart Society" (Society 5.0)

Society 5.0 is characterized by the sophisticated integration of cyberspace with physical space ("the real world")

Society 5.0 is capable of providing the **necessary goods and services to the people who need them at the required time and in just the right amount**; a society that is able to respond precisely to a wide variety of social needs; a society in which all kinds of people can readily obtain high-quality services, overcome differences of age, gender, region, and language, and live vigorous and comfortable lives.

Beyond energy saving, Society 5.0 promotes sharing economy to shift the industry from manufacturing to service providers leading to a ultimate circular society.



1) car/ride share \rightarrow car $\downarrow \rightarrow$ car productions $\downarrow \rightarrow$ material needs $\downarrow \rightarrow$ energy/CO₂ \downarrow 2) smart maintenance \rightarrow component replace $\downarrow \rightarrow$ material needs $\downarrow \rightarrow$ energy/CO₂ \downarrow

3) IC tag for all component \rightarrow recycling rate $\uparrow \rightarrow$ material needs $\downarrow \rightarrow$ energy/CO₂ \downarrow

"Society 5.0" and "Connected Industries" ⇒ Sharing Economy, Circular Economy, ...



There are a lot of things in every sector we can reduce.

- Operating rate of automobiles: 4%
- Vacant houses: 13%
- More than half of the people have leftover medicine.
- One third of foods are wasted.
- Twenty-two pieces of unused clothing in women's closet
- 40% of rooms in hotel is unoccupied.

(Lacy and Rutqvist (2015))

(Sources): Sugiyama (2017), SCJ (Science Council of Japan) symposium, Sept. 27, 2017

There are a lot of things in every sector we can reduce.



- Our society does not consume energy as the purpose, but consume energies associated with products and services which increase our welfare.
- The end-use products and services which increase our welfare will usually diffuse rapidly, and energy use and CO2 associated with those may decrease rapidly.



WHAT IF ALL

WAS

TRANSPORTATION

CONVERGED ...

Social changes (by the development of AI, IoT, Big data) in cooperation with services (e.g. MaaS) through fully autonomous cars, car sharing and IoT

(Source: Finland)

Image of standard scenario by models and real world scenarios for deep cuts 20



Explicit high carbon prices of such as over 100\$/tCO₂ in real price are unlikely in a real world. Technology and social innovations resulting in low (implicit or explicit) carbon prices (including coordination of secondary energy prices) are key for deep emission₂guts to be implemented.

Pathways to Net Zero Emission



+ Adaptation

Keep Options as Many as Possible! 21

ご清聴ありがとうございました

Thanks for your attention

公益財団法人 地球環境產業技術研究機構(RITE) Research Institute of Innovative Technology for the Earth