Markus Amann Atmospheric Pollution and Economic Development Programme International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis

Comparison of GHG mitigation efforts between Annex 1 countries

Objectives

- Independent and coherent comparison of GHG mitigation potentials and costs in Annex 1 countries for 2020
 - using a systems approach,
 - based on publicly available data,
 - taking into account co-benefits on air pollution,
 - independent assessment, financed through IIASA's core funds.
- Results, input data and interactive calculator freely available in the public domain:

http://gains.iiasa.ac.at

Methodology

Bottom-up approach

- at detailed technical level,
- all gases and sectors,
- systems approach,
- starting from IEA, FAO projections of economic activities,
- technical, economic and market potentials (before trading).

1251

Based on earlier work with IIASA's GAINS (Greenhouse gas – Air pollution Interactions and Synergies) model Disaggregation of emission sources in domestic and industry sectors

- Domestic sector:
 - Residential/Commercial energy use,
 - Heating+ventilation+AC/Water heating/Cooking/Lighting/ Large appliances/Small appliances,
 - Up to 10 climate regions,
 - Flats/Single family houses,
 - Built before/after 2010
- Industry:
 - 6 sectors:
 - Iron and steel, Non-ferrous metals, Non-metal minerals,
 - Chemicals, Pulp and paper, Other industries
 - For each sector up to 13 products:
 - (e.g., Raw steel, Finished products, Scrap supply, Coke oven coke, Sinter,
 Pellets, Pig iron, Direct reduced iron, Open hearth furnace, Basic oxygen,
 Electric arc furnace, Casting, rolling finishing, Thin slab casting)

1 4



a) Inventory of ~300 mitigation measures, with technical and economic features

Mitigation measures

~300 options in each country

Structural measures

CO_2 measures

opertor	Neasure	Sec
Power plants	Use of renewables, such as wind, solar photo-voltaic, large hydro power plants, such hydro power,	Pow
	 geoffsernal power instead of fossil fuels. Gas-fired power plants instead of cosil-fired power plants. 	
	Biomass power plants instead of fossil fuel plants.	
	 Combined heat and power (CHP) systems to substitute electric power plants on the one hand, and either industrial boilers or residential boilers. CHP systems increase the ownall energy system efficiency. 	Ligh
	 IEfficiency measures that reduce electricity consumption in industry and the residential/commercial sector that reduce electricity consumption) 	
Residential sector	 Energy saving packages (2 stages each) for heating, cooling, an conditioning for 	Hea
	 evising norms, evising spartments, eve spartments. 	Bus
	Energy saving packages (3 stages each) for worker heating, cooking, lighting, small appliances, lage appliances.	Mot
Commercial sector	Energy saving backages (3 stages each) for heating, cooling, an conditioning for o existing buildings, o new buildings.	Agri
	Energy saving packages (I stages sach) for water teating cocking, slighting,	Was
	a unali appliances, large appliances.	Was
Alt industries	Gas-tired bothers instead of coal-fired bothers. Contained Heat and Power instead of instruktila bothers.	
Commit production	Energy saving packages (3 stages)	Can
industry	 Energy saving packages (3 stages). 	Coa
Paper and pulp industry	Energy saving packages (3 stages)	Gas
Non-femous metals	Energy saving packages (3 stages)	Nat
Chemicals	Energy saving packages (3 stages)	proc
THE PARTY OF THE P	The second	and here

67	Measure
plants	IGCC (Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle) instead of conventional coal fired power plants Carbon capture and storage
nger cars	Advanced internal combustion engines Hybrid vehicles Plug-in hybrids Electric vehicles Hydrogen fuel-cell vehicle Non-traction related efficiency improvements
duty trucks	Advanced internal combustion engines Hybrid vehicles Plug-in hybrids Electric vehicles Hydrogen fuel-cell vehicles Non-traction related efficiency improvements
-duty trucks	Advanced internal combustion engine Non-traction related efficiency improvements
	Electric vehicle Hydrogen fuel-cell vehicle Non-traction related efficiency improvements (2 stages)

CH₄ measures

· Advanced internal combustion engi

ē	Measure								
culture	Anaerobic digestion of animal manure Dietary changes for dairy cows and cattle Alternative rice strains and improved aeration of rice fields Ban on agricultural waste burning								
te	Waste diversion options: recycling of paper and wood waste, composting and bio-gasification of food waste, and waste incineration Landfill options: gas recovery with flaring or gas utilization								
tewater	 Domestic urban wastewater collection with aerobic or anaerobic treatment with or without gas recovery Domestic rural wastewater treatment in latrines or septic tanks. Industrial wastewater treatment –aerobic or anaerobic with or without gas recovery utilization 								
I mining	Recovery with flaring or utilization of gas								
distribution	 Replacement of grey cast iron networks and increased network control frequency 								
ural gas and oil fuction and cessing	Recovery and flaring of gas								

N_2O measures

120	Mea	isure	
griculture	÷	Reduced and/or improved timing of fertilizer application Use of advanced agro-chemicals (e.g., nitrification inhibitors) Precision farming	
nergy ombustion	•	Combustion modifications in fluidized bed boilers	
ndustrial rocesses	•	Catalytic reduction in nitric and adipic acid production	
laste water	1.00	Optimization of operating conditions in wastewater plants	
irect N ₂ O use	•	Replacement/reduction in use of N ₂ O for anaesthetic purposes	

F-gas measures

(izie	6)	Measure
C.	Aerosals.	Alternative propellant
C	Stationary sit conditioning and infrigeration	Good practice: leakage control, improved components, and end-of- life recovery 7 Process modifications for commercial and industrial refrigeration
C	Mobile air conditioning and refrigeration	Attenuative infrigurant: pressurized CO ₂ Good practice: leakage control, improved components, and end-of- lide recovery
C	HCFC-22 production	Incineration: post construction of HFC-23
C	Foams	 Atternative blowing agents.
C	Aeronalia	Alternative propellant
¢	Primary aluminium production	Conversion of SWPB or VSS to PFPB VSS and SWPB retroliting
0	Semiconductor	Alternative solvent use: NF1
6 :	Magnesium production and canting	Atternative protection gas 50 ₀
6	High and mid softage saitches	 Good practice: leakage control, improved components, and end-of- life recovery
6	Other SF6 use	 Ban of SF6 una





IASA

For each source sector in each country:

- b) For 2005: Match emissions reported to UNFCCC
 - with activity data from UNFCCC, IEA and national statistics,
 - adjust implementation rates of mitigation measures.

a) Inventory of ~300 mitigation measures, with technical and economic features

1251

For each source sector in each country:

- b) For 2005: Match emissions reported to UNFCCC
- c) For 2020:
 - Match baseline energy use of IEA World Energy Outlook 2008
 - with activity rates projected by IEA, modify implementation rates of energy efficiency measures to reproduce IEA energy projection.
 - Develop baseline emission projection
 - adjust implementation rates of mitigation measures as reported in National Communications.

 a) Inventory of ~300 mitigation measures, with technical and economic features 1454

For each source sector in each country:

- b) For 2005: Match emissions reported to UNFCCC
- c) For 2020: Match baseline energy use and develop baseline emission projection
- d) Determine further mitigation potential
 - from implementing the best available (energy efficiency and C mitigation) measures that are not assumed in the baseline,
 - considering constraints on replacement of existing capital stock, structural limits, etc.

Estimating mitigation costs

Three steps:

- 1. Determine unit costs for each mitigation option:
 - Annualized investments + operating costs savings per unit of reduced emissions

1251

- Reflect resource costs without transfers (no taxes, subsidies, profits, transaction costs, etc.)
- Alternative interest rates for annualization of investments:
 - Social (4%/yr)
 - Private (20%/yr)
- 2. For a given mitigation target:
 - Determine least-cost portfolio of mitigation measures (i.e., including upstream effects), through optimization model
- 3. Cost curves: Series of optimizations between baseline emissions and maximum mitigation case

An initial implementation

- For largest Annex 1 countries (98% of 1990 emissions), EU25 presented in aggregate
- Based on activity projections of IEA World Energy Outlook 2008 and FAO World Agriculture Perspective
- СССКАТОРИИ СССКОТОРИ СССКОТОРИ СССКОТОРИ СССКОТОРИ СССКОТОРИ ССССКАТОРИ

IASA

- Key assumptions:
 - Only currently available technologies
 - Natural turnover of capital stock, no premature scrapping
 - No behavioural changes
 - Before trading
 - LULUCF excluded for now
- Initial analysis based on publicly available information, received only limited review by national experts

Baseline GHG emissions projections for IEA WEO2008 projection



Analysis not completed yet for: Belarus, Croatia, Turkey, Cyprus, Malta

Marginal cost curves for individual Parties



Total costs for different interest rates Annex 1, 2020, excl. LULUCF



Total cost curves (% of GDP) (10% interest rate)



Comparison of mitigation efforts

for a 16.5% reduction of total Annex 1 emissions Efficiency vs. equity



Co-benefits on air pollutant emissions



Access to more information (1) http://gains.iiasa.ac.at

 Data sheets on GHG mitigation potentials for all Annex 1 Parties





Access to more information (2) http://gains.iiasa.ac.at

Documentation of methodology:

- Basic GAINS methodology (M. Amann et al., 2008)
- Mitigation potentials from energy use and industry (J. Cofala et al., 2008)
- Mitigation potentials from transportation (J. Borken-Kleefeld et al., 2008)
- Mitigation potentials for non-CO₂ gases (L. Höglund-Isaksson et al., 2008)
- Mitigation potentials from LULUCF (H. Böttcher et al., 2008)



Access to more information (3)

http://gains.iiasa.ac.at

• On-line calculator on the Internet:

GAINS - GHG Mitigation Target Calculator for co File Edit View History Bookmarks Tools Help C × A (http://gains.ilasa.				Mitigation Cost								
	GAINS • MITIGATI			Carbon price Total costs				9	6 of	GD	Per capita	
	Party	Base year	€,	/t CO2eq	bln	€/yr	•		%	•		€/cap/yr
		Mt CO2eg M	-	_		_	_				-	_
	Target for each Party								(0.02	%	
	Australia	416									-	
	Canada	592		25			0.14		0).02	%	6.0
	EU 27*	5568	Ē		i						-	-
	Japan	1272		35			0.26			0.02	%	/.1
	New Zealand	62		00			2.14			0.00	- 0/	6.2
	Norway	50		80			3.14			J.02	%	0.3
	Russian Federation	3326		50			1 26			0.02	0/-	10.0
	Switzerland	53		50			1.50	1		1.02	70	10.9
	Ukraine	922		60			0.02		(0.02	%	4.3
	America	6135										
Done	Total for Annex I	18396		60			0.08		0	0.02	%	16.8
	🔲 🖻 🗳 🥹	* 💮 Mol	Γ	45			0.26		C	0.02	%	1.8

 Access to more information (4) http://gains.iiasa.ac.at

Review workshop:

March 16-18, 2009 at IIASA

Registration:

http://gains.iiasa.ac.at

Conclusions

- IIASA's GAINS analysis:
 - Coherent impartial comparison of mitigation efforts
 - Analysis based on publicly available data
 - Transparency: Open access to results and all input data:

http://gains.iiasa.ac.at

- There are objective reasons for differences in mitigation efforts.
- Different equity criteria deliver different rankings of countries.
- Political agreement on the meaning of comparability of efforts is required.
- The GAINS analysis provides a quantitative tool for such negotiations.

