# CCSにおける微小振動観測技術開発

# Microseismic Monitoring at the CCS fields



# Contents

Previous studies of fluid injection
✓Geothermal and oil fields

Seismicity at CO<sub>2</sub> injection fields
Weyburn (Canada), Lacq (France)

 Microseismic monitoring tech developments
 ✓ Offshore potential CO2 storage sites

## >Fluid Injections:

### Stimulation & Production at geothermal and oil fields

	Site	Activity	Rock	Sensors	Wells		
	Austin Chalk	stimulation	clastic sediment/lime	2, plus e reflection	2		
	Lower Frio	stimulation	stone clastic sediment	50	2	Unconsolidated sandstone	ł
	Cotton Valley	stimulation	clastic sediment	6	2		
<b></b>	Clinton Co.	production	massive limestone	3	2	Low porosity carbonate	
Hot-Dry Rock Granite	Fenton Hill	stimulation	crystalline	4	4		
	Soultz	stimulation	crystalline	4	4		
				Phillips et	al, 2001		

# Examples of Seismogram Recorded at Fenton Hill, New Mexico



Over 21,000 m<sup>3</sup> of water were injected into deep HDR in 61 hours.

# **Lessons from Previous Fluid Injections**

- Microseismic monitoring must be performed by <u>downhole</u> instruments due to the small size
- Most events fall in the magnitude 4 to -2 range, formed surrounding the injection point.
- Microseismic techniques equally useful if sufficient events observed in sedimentary reservoirs.
- >Lower Frio: 54 located from 2900 events (too small for location).
- Clinton Co.: 3200 events recorded clearly associated with production rate, with time lag.
- Fenton Hill: over 11,000 locatable events recorded in a volume of 1 km x 1 km x 300m.

# Contents

Previous studies of fluid injection
Geothermal and oil fields

Seismicity at CO<sub>2</sub> injection fields
Weyburn (Canada), Lacq (France)

 Microseismic monitoring tech developments
 ✓ Offshore potential CO2 storage sites

# Microseismic Monitoring at Weyburn CO2-EOR field



8-level, 3-components geophones installed in well 101/06-08, about 50 m away from injection well 121/06-08, CO2 injection rate 100-500 ton/day, 2003.8 – 2004.11

## Monthly event rates during CO<sub>2</sub> & water injection



Most days have no events, sometimes as many as 7 events and no events at all for Over 2 years from 2006 (*Verdon, 2010*).

# Map (a) and East-West cross-section (b) view of Phase 1B events plotted by ESG



This plot includes some events caused by completion and drill activities pointed out by *Verdon (2010)*.

# Map (a) and East-West cross-section (b) view of Phase 1B events plotted by Verdon (2010)



Yellow: pre-injection (Aug-Dec 2003); Magenta: initial injection period (Jan-Apr 2004); Red: during elevated injection rate period (Jul-Nov 2004) by Verdon (2010).

# Event magnitudes plotted as a function of distance from the array by Verdon (2010)



# Summary of Microseismic Monitoring at Weyburn CO2-EOR field

- Event magnitudes ranged between -3 to -1, event magnitudes of -2 are still detectable over 400 m away.
- Comparison with production data, the timing of events located in and just above the reservoir correlates with periods temporarily stopped production.
- Microseismicity occurs at the onset of CO2 injection, and also appears to correlate with periods of increased injection.
- Events are characterized by a low dominant frequency (15 - 80 Hz) and poor signal-to-noise ratio.



### CCS pilot, Lacq, France

CO<sub>2</sub> storage into Rousse

- Depleted gas reservoir producing from 1972 to 2006
  - ✓ Depth = 4500m,
  - ✓ Temperature = 150°C,
  - ✓ Initial Pressure = 485 bar
- Fractured dolomitic reservoir
- No aquifer support
- A tightly sealed cap rock
- Maxi injection : 100 ktonnes, pressure@endinjection:100 bar

Billiot (2011)



Geological cross - section (S - N)





#### **Micro-seismicity monitoring**

Data during injection in 2010 and 2011

- January 10 to July 10 : non continuous injection
- August 10 to December 10 : continuous
- January to March 11 : no injection
- Since April 2011: 100 ton/day
- Velocity model : very detailed
- 7 layers with velocity law
- ✓ Evolution of the ratio Vp/Vs
- Calibration and orientation of the deep array in June 11

In 2010, with subsurface network Very near seismic event : 6

Magnitude : -1.1 to -0.2

Since April 2011, microseismic events detected by the deep seismic array in the injection well

Magnitude : -3.1 to -1.4

Billiot (2011)



#### Micro seismicity monitoring

Performances of the network, Alarms thresholds

 Very good performance of whole network

#### **Detection sensitivity map**

- -3 : near the injection wellbore
- -2 : near the shallow wells

 French administration asked for alarms thresholds

#### Official alarms thresholds

According to magnitude and number of events, alarm procedure is activated

- For seismic events with magnitude above 2, in the circle given by the subsurface network
- For magnitude above -1, if there is evidence of propagation in space and in time of seismic events external to the reservoir

#### Billiot (2011)

# Contents

Previous studies of fluid injection
Geothermal and oil fields

Seismicity at CO<sub>2</sub> injection fields
Weyburn (Canada), Lacq (France)

 Microseismic monitoring tech developments
 ✓ Offshore potential CO2 storage sites

# Microseismic Monitoring at Nagaoka Site



#### Seisimic sensor specifications

ltem	specification
Seismic Sensor (ALTUS Enta; Kinemetrics)	Sensor type: triaxial EpiSensor force balance accelerometer Full scale: ±2G Frequency range: DC~100Hz Dynamic range: 135dB
Seismic Recorder (ALTUS –K2; Kinemetrics)	Number of channels: 6ch Sampling time: 20, 40, 50, 100, 200, 250Hz Frequency range: DC~40Hz (in 100Hz sampling case) Dynamic range: 114dB(19Bit) Power supply: Internal battery 12V, 12Ah

#### Geophysical Monitoring (incl. earthquake safety surveys)



#### **Pressure Changes at Injection and Observation Wells**



## The Mid Niigata Prefecture Earthquake in 2004

Main shock: 23 Oct 2004 M6.8 at 10km depth Seismic intensity: 7 →Injection was automatically stopped at the main shock.





Access road was damaged.

CO<sub>2</sub> detector (No leak)

Injection was carefully resumed after confirming safety (6 Dec 2004) injection rate: 40t-CO<sub>2</sub>/day



For detail: Xue et al. (2006) 3<sup>rd</sup> Monitoring Network Meeting (Melbourne)

# The Mid Niigata Prefecture Earthquake in 2004



Earthquake Wave-forms observed by the seismic sensor of Nagaoka site



Histogram of microseismic events by the seismic sensor of Nagaoka site. Injection period is July 2003 to January 2005.

Natural earthquakes and surface noises are included in these events.

# Likely Events Recorded at Nagaoka Site

## (2004.10.23-2006.3.6)



# Likely Events Observed from starting injection to Mid-Niigata Earthquake



# **Comparison with Hi-net data**



# **Comparison with Hydrophone data**



) : matched with Hydrophone record, phase lag in P, S-waves
 → possibly seismic event

) : no record in Hydrophone data, no phase lag in P, S-waves

 $\rightarrow$  Noise

# Sonic Logging (Vp) @ OB-2



## **Results of Crosswell Seismic Tomography**



## Japan-US Collaboration with LBNL-SECARB on Microseismic Monitoring at Cranfield



# Locations of RITE Seismometer at Cranfield



**Denbury Resource Int.** 

# Microseismic Monitoring at the Offshore Potential Storage Sites in Japan



# Waveforms of a Natural Seismicity by OBC

*M*=0.6 (depth: 100km)

Vertical (7)	a side
	P
Horizontal (Y1)	
	S
Horizontal (Y2)	
	S

#### Induced Microseismic Measurement at Valhall Using OBC



Permenent OBC (2008-2048)

120 km length, 45 km<sup>2</sup> (2500 4C Sensors)

**4D Seismic Survey** 

Microseismic Monitoring (hydraulic fracturing)

Magnitude: -3.0

Chambers et al., 2010

#### **Event Locations for the Major Cluster in Hydro-fracturing**



#### Chambers et al., 2010

# Summary of Microseismic Monitoring

- Events with low signal-to-noise ratio can be grouped and stacked to enhance these signals in OBC system.
- Integrating OBC with OBS and Hi-net systems to remove events not correlating with CO2 injection at the offshore storage sites.
- Building geomechanical models to interpret events outside of reservoir (fluid migration or stress transfer).
- Field survey results after the Mid-Niigata Earthquake confirmed the safety of CO2 storage at Nagaoka site.

# Acknowledgements

- This project is funded by Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI) of Japan.
- We thank staff of LBNL, BEG(SECARB), Denbury Resource International and RITE involved in this project.